



**Request for Qualifications/Proposals for
Plans, Specifications, and Estimates, Environmental, and Right-of-Way
Engineering and Acquisition Services for
The Old Town Sidewalk Infill Project**

Addendum No. 1

March 6, 2017

SOQ due date: Monday, March 13, 2017, at 4:00 P.M. (no change)

1. RFI #1: Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Goal.

Question: Is there a specific Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal?

Answer: The Old Town Sidewalk Infill Project does not have a DBE goal.

2. RFI #2: Prevailing Wage.

Question: Can you confirm that this project would be subject to California prevailing wage requirements?

Answer: Yes, the project would be subject to California prevailing wage requirements under the State of California's General Prevailing Wage Rate requirements in accordance with California Labor Code, Section 177 as well as Federal Davis Bacon Act requirements (Section I(b)(2)).

3. RFI #3: Funding and estimates.

Question: As this project utilizes ATP funds, is this contract going to require Caltrans forms and/or cost development?

Answer: The project is funded through state-only ATP funds as well as Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for design/ROW (not-construction). Cost developments are anticipated as associated with estimating for the Plans, Specifications & Estimates phase as it typically expected in project design.

4. RFI #4: Right-of-Way acquisition.

Question: Is there ROW acquisition?

Answer: The conceptual plans for sidewalk on at least one side of the street has been selected to minimize the ROW conflicts and with the goal to minimize the need to acquire ROW. However, there may be some instances where acquisition is

required resulting from the environmental, ROW, or design phase. We anticipate mostly rights-of-entry and/or temporary construction easements (if needed).

5. RFI #5: Datums.

Question: Does the City have specified horizontal and vertical datums? If so, is there a specific document (report, RS map, etc.) that defines those datums?

Answer: We have topographic maps and surveys for the ROW in .dwg format based on the following:

- 1) The coordinate basis is NAD 83 (1991.35 EPOCH) per station 2002 per Record of Survey Book 170, pages 47-49.
- 2) Vertical datum is NAVD 88 per station 2002 per Record of Survey Book 170, pages 47-49.
- 3) Additional control used were control points 14 and 10 per the City's San Jose Creek Project per McGee Surveying Consulting Control Report.

6. RFI #6: Existing mapping.

Question: What existing mapping is available? What is it (topo, right of way, utility) and how was it created?

Answer: We have .dwg files for the project area ROW as described above. We also have utility maps from the utility companies. This information is typically in .pdf format.

7. RFI #7: Parking and travel lanes.

Question: What is the minimum width the City would require for these roads to be and their configurations regarding parking and travel lanes?

Answer: The City is working on a street design standard. However, a component of the environmental, ROW, and final design work for the OTSW Project will include addressing how to complete the project with varying roadway widths, a 4-foot minimum sidewalk, parking needs, utilities, and drainage.

8. RFI #8: Phase I Environmental Site Assessments.

Question: Does the City anticipate the need for any Phase I Environmental Site Assessments as part of the Appraisal process under Right-of-Way Services?

Answer: The City does not anticipate any Phase I Environmental Site Assessments as part of the project.

Approved by



Rosemarie Gaglione
Public Works Director

--END--