APPENDIX R2
PROJECT CORRESPONDENCE
October 7, 2008

Katy Sanchez, Program Analyst
Native American Heritage Commission
915 Capitol Mall, Room 364
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Marriott Residence Inn Project

Dear Ms. Sanchez:

Thank you for taking some time to speak with me last Friday regarding the City of Goleta’s meet and confer process and the resulting field investigation, analysis, documentation, construct of mitigation measures, redesign of the project’s site plan, and redesign of the construction preliminary drawings for site preparation, utility relocation and foundation work. As I mentioned, I believe that the meet and confer process helped reconcile differences about the Marriott Project, as well as establish a much better framework for future early consultation with the Chumash community on other projects.

In consideration of your letter to me of August 22nd, the following comments are respectfully submitted. This letter should serve in a couple of ways: 1) to clarify and correct the administrative record; and 2) to reaffirm the City’s express interest in working with and resolving matters of critical interest with the Native American community.

In reviewing your August 22nd letter, I came away with the impression that your comments were meant to supersede the Mitigated Negative Declaration brought forward to the Planning Commission last April. I want you to know that a Revised Final Mitigated Negative Declaration was produced that incorporates substantial revisions to the project
design and that newly analyzes cultural resource data. The Revised Final Negative Declaration includes the following improvements to the CEQA record and the administrative record:

- The results of four consultative "meet and confer" sessions were hosted by the City and attended by two Planning Commissioners, the developer and his planning and design team, including City-qualified archaeologists, interested members of the Chumash community from throughout the Santa Barbara and Ventura counties region, their counsel, Mr. Sam Cohen, an independent archeologist invited by the Chumash, as well as City staff.
- A comprehensive Supplemental Extended Phase 1 reconnaissance and excavation program was conducted at the project site during June and documented in a report that was produced and forwarded to all interested parties in August.
- Numerous refinements to the project description to increase archaeological site preservation, including major revisions to the site plan, setback areas, proposed foundation system, as well as construction techniques were made.
- A commitment was made to further archeological/heritage resources investigation as a pre-requisite to site preparation work.

I want to impress upon you that the City is committed to ensuring that the archaeological/heritage resources information upon which decision-making is based is both comprehensive and current, and that Chumash concerns and values are integrated into the revised project design and resource mitigations.

Specifically, as described in more detail below, the CEQA review conducted for the Marriott Project and the mitigation measures that have been applied reflect the following values:

- Field investigation protocols, analysis protocols and thresholds, and mitigation measures were established with extensive input from Chumash Peoples. There was disagreement between various Chumash interests, but strides were made to try to reconcile those differences, remain inclusive to all factions, and to honor specific sensitive requests about the nature and extent of the field work, the handling of archeological/heritage resources if found, as well as the documentation of such.

- The City took strides to conduct the analysis of archeological and heritage values in a manner that was sensitive to the differing views within the Chumash community.

- What was found was that the likelihood of discovery of human burials on the site is extremely low. Notwithstanding, a mitigation measure was crafted that provides full
protection in the unlikely event that such remains are discovered during site preparation or construction.

- Preservation in-place of archeological and heritage resources became a core value in the City's approach to mitigation.

- The Supplemental Extended Phase I reconnaissance and excavation program conducted on the project site, coupled with the Phase III data recovery program to be implemented as a condition of approval, were designed to preserve rather than destroy the site.

- Chumash representatives specifically endorsed the placement of geotextile materials, a layer of sand, and fill soil over native soil, thus protecting archeological/heritage resources from compaction and vibration. I might add that these measures have been successfully employed throughout the two-county region on many projects over many years.

A very different set of concerns, care, study and resolution took place than is described in your letter. So by way of this letter, I want the NAHC to benefit from a first-hand accounting of the values, thinking, efforts and solutions that actually occurred. It was a tireless effort to derive more facts and to be responsive to matters that are both tangible and intangible. I am asking that the administrative record on file with your agency reflect this reality.

1. **There has been Extensive Consultation with Chumash Representatives.**

At the first project hearing on April 28th, the Planning Commission directed the applicant to participate in a meet and confer process with interested Chumash groups and individuals, in order to maximize consensus regarding the proposed project mitigations designed to enhance archaeological site preservation and respect of heritage values. Four such meetings, on May 5th, May 19th, June 18th, and August 6th, were conducted in the Council Chambers at City Hall. In sum total, more than 12-hours of discussion were conducted in these meet and confer sessions, in addition to at least another 40-hours of various discussions between the parties in other individual meetings and telephone conversations in support of the meet and confer process.

I facilitated the first meet and confer session on May 5th, which was attended by two Planning Commissioners, City staff, the Chumash Peoples and their representatives, as well as the applicant and his planning and design team. Three professional attended as well. At the session, a concept emerged that ultimately led to a substantial redesign of
the site plan, such that the impacts associated with the project’s pilings would be, to the extent possible, located out of the Locus 1 area of CA-SBA-58. Soon thereafter, all parties of interest received copies of an amended Technical Brief, as well as the responses to comments on the Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration. These documents had been revised to reflect the recent and substantial site plan redesign, discussions during and stemming from the May 5th meet and confer session, a letter of May 4th from Dr. Michael Glassow, a leading archeological expert, the Final Cultural Resources Technical Report prepared by the Social Process Research Institute, as well as a relevant letter to the County of Santa Barbara from Pandora Snethkamp.

The May 19th “meet and confer” session was attended by a many of the same participants. The applicant’s planning and design team presented to the group a redesigned site plan and explained that impacts to the Locus 1 area would be reduced by a relocation of the front portion of the hotel from the southeastern quadrant to the northwestern quadrant of the site. This redesign was intended to avoid the placement 73 piles in the Locus 1 area. This redesign would also preserve this quadrant of the site for passive green-space and could provide an area for monument and dedication to the Chumash Peoples, if so desired. In addition, it was also explained that further project refinement to the excavation of the site for purposes of construction would result in the preservation/no disturbance of 90% of the soils throughout the entire site below 18 inches.

While recognized as a large effort and improvement over the previous design and previous level of impact, the Chumash Peoples also requested preparation of a Supplemental Extended Phase 1.5 archaeological investigation of the site in order to determine the horizontal and vertical extent of intact Locus 1 cultural materials within the CA-SBA-58 boundary. It was agreed that a scope of work for such would be prepared and distributed to all the interested parties.

The scope of work was designed to expand the range of subsurface investigations to encompass the entire project site, as previous UCSB work was considered by interested parties to have been narrowly focused on the 1980 Burroughs Building Expansion footprint. This boundary had been identified during excavations completed in 1979 and 1980 by the University of California Santa Barbara, based on the results of shovel test pits and backhoe trenches.

The scope of work prepared for the Supplemental Extended Phase 1 archeological investigation was sent out to the interested parties and a request for comments was made. Comments on the scope were received by email and in person. Several of the individual interested Chumash community members personally met with the study
archaeologist to discuss their concerns and submit comments. All of the comments were incorporated into a revised scope of work. Subsequently, another meet and confer session was held on June 18th prior to the commencement of the field work. At this session, further comments were taken and these comments and suggestions were incorporated into a re-revised scope of work. Field work was then conducted between June 23rd and 27th. A Supplemental Extended Phase 1 Summary Report was thereafter produced and released to all interested parties.

In summary, over the course of four meet and confer sessions, the following decisions were reached: undertake a Supplemental Extended Phase 1 investigation to systematically identify any buried intact archaeological resources outside those defined in 1979; define the precise scope of this investigation; and explore refinements in the project site plan and design; and maximize preservation of CA-SBA-58 deposits. Bottom-line: the resulting changes in the project design substantially reduced the area of CA-SBA-58 that would be directly impacted, from approximately 38 percent to approximately 12 percent. The remaining site area, including both intact and previously disturbed materials, would be effectively preserved under a series of geotextile fabric layers and sand.

2. The mitigation measures are, in part, based on an archeological resources study conducted in 1979, plus a Supplemental Extended Phase 1 reconnaissance and excavation study completed in June 2008 by City-qualified archaeologists. The most recent archaeological study was designed with Chumash input, and was monitored by “Most Likely Chumash Descendants.”

The project site was the subject of a 1979 EIR containing a detailed archeological study, which City staff considered in its early assessment of the proposed project in 2007-08. The City took the initiative to propose and conduct meet and confer sessions when it became clear that lingering Chumash concerns were at-hand. As a result of the first two meet and confer sessions, a scope of work was developed to systematically explore all ground surfaces within the project site. Excavation of 39 shovel test pits, backhoe trenches, and solid core borings increased the area of intact CA-SBA-58 deposits identified in the project area. This archaeological investigation was monitored by two Chumash observers, Jamie Julian and her mother Beverly Folks, who have over collectively over 25 years of experience working with archaeologists. These individuals were working under the direction of Gilbert Unzueta, Barbareño Chumash Most Likely Descendant.
The excavation methods used to complete this excavation program emphasized the use of shovel test pits and solid core borings to minimize the extent to which potentially intact archaeological soils would be disturbed. The amount of intact archaeological material actually collected and analyzed in the laboratory was the minimum amount needed to confidently determine the presence of intact, rather than previously disturbed soils. Though the intact CA-SBA-58 soils are considered to be "historical resources" under California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section 15064.5, the City recognizes and respects the fact that all cultural materials, whether disturbed or not, are considered important heritage resources to Chumash Peoples.

In summary, the City's approach to evaluation of this site has been at all times to recognize its level of importance, to undertake appropriate review to assess its archeological/cultural resources, and to involve the Chumash community at each step of the analysis.

3. Though two cemeteries were recorded within the CA-SBA-58 site boundary in the 1920s, these sensitive areas are completely outside of the proposed Marriott Residence Inn project site boundary. Archaeological excavations in 1979, as well as the recently completed Supplemental Extended Phase 1 excavations in 2008, did not recover any evidence of human remains within the project site. There is no evidence to indicate that cemeteries or even isolated burials exist within the proposed project site.

The archaeological investigations undertaken by David Banks Rogers in the 1920's concluded that both CA-SBA-58 Chumash cemeteries were located outside of the proposed project site area. Rogers excavated extensively within both cemeteries, and his map of CA-SBA-58 clearly indicated the extent of those cemeteries. No human remains were identified during systematic archaeological excavations in 1979 within the proposed project area. The archaeological excavations in 1979 and 2008 have characterized this area of CA-SBA-58, within the proposed project site, as nearly entirely a shell midden, with much smaller constituents of animal bone chipped stone and very few ground stone tools.

The City recognizes that isolated human burials can be located within any prehistoric archaeological site. Also, the extensive ground disturbances including repeated episodes of cuts and fills to level out the original CA-SBA-58 topography can potentially result in isolated human remain fragments being moved within disturbed soils from the recorded cemetery areas outside the project site to within the proposed development area. Important, within the 20 test excavation units, shovel test pits, and backhoe trenches completed in 1979, and the additional 39 Shovel test pits, backhoe trenches,
and solid borings comprising the 2008 study, is that no human remains have been encountered. There is no evidence indicating that any burials are located within the proposed project site, as the known cemeteries associated with CA-SBA-58 were located outside of proposed areas of disturbance.

The Final Mitigated Negative Declaration states that: "There remains the potential, although limited, for isolated human remains to have been interred outside of the two formal CA-SBA-58 cemeteries, or for isolated human remains to have been redistributed throughout areas of CA-SBA-58 during previous land form modifications. In the event that these isolated human remains were encountered during construction excavations, their disturbance would be subject to State law (Public Resources Code sections 5097.97 and 5097.98) requiring that local Chumash individuals representing the most likely descendants of these prehistoric inhabitants be provided disposition over the remains, including their appropriate relocation in an area not subject to future disturbance."

The City has required as a condition of approval of the project that all ground disturbances be monitored by a City-qualified archaeologist and Chumash observer, who would have the authority to temporarily stop construction in the event that isolated human remains were encountered during construction. The applicant has agreed to have any such unknown human remains reinterred onsite, in an area that would not be subject to future disturbances, consistent with Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.

4. **Preservation of Resources is at the heart of the City's approach to mitigation.** Substantial redesign of the proposed project design has reduced the amount of archaeological site area that would be disturbed by over-excavation and piling placement since the Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration was issued. The estimated intact archaeological site area that would be preserved has been increased from approximately 60 percent to 90 percent.

The parties to the meet and confer sessions have worked closely and vigorously to identify any feasible design and engineering features that can enhance preservation of CA-SBA-58 deposits, while accomplishing the objectives of the project. These include:

- Reducing the depth of required over-excavation from 24 inches to 18 inches from ground surface. This single revision is most responsible for increasing preservation of CA-SBA-58 deposits.
Using direction boring technology to excavate a needed sewer lateral underneath CA-SBA-58 site deposits.

Requiring the applicant to redesign the project by relocating an entire wing of proposed suites, and approximately 6 percent of all proposed pilings, to an area of lesser artifact density and lesser potential for disturbance.

Requiring placement of a series of geotextile mats and a sand layer over the native archaeological soil to effectively minimize the impacts of compaction resulting from placement of engineered fill. This measure was specifically endorsed by members of the Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation (John Ruiz and Janet Garcia), who have used this technique at the Bacara Resort in Goleta.

Restricting the area of individual pilings to 12-inches square.

The proposed project site plan and design thus reflects several strategies identified in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 to achieve archaeological site preservation. These include:

- "Preservation In-Place:" Approximately 90 percent of the remaining intact CA-SBA-58 archaeological site will be preserved in place.

- "Capping the Site with a Layer of Soil:" As described above, layers of geotextile fabric sandwiching non-cultural sand will be placed on top of the archaeological site soils after the top 18 inches from ground surface are excavated. This technique is commonly used by archaeologists in the Santa Barbara and Ventura counties region and throughout the United States to substantially reduce the effects of compaction from engineered fill that is to be placed on top. The effects of heavy equipment and vibration should be substantially reduced, and this method was endorsed by Chumash who participated in the meet and confer process.

At the end of the day, I can truly say that all-inclusive discussions and preservation in-place were at the core of the City's approach to CEQA review and mitigation for this project.

5. **Archaeological Data Recovery mitigation is acknowledged to potentially contribute to impacts on Chumash archeological/cultural concerns.** As a result, the size of mitigation test units has been reduced by 50 percent. The site will not be destroyed as a result of implementation of mitigation.
The Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration identified excavation of archaeological test units 1.0 meter X 1.0 meter in size, centered on a portion of proposed pilings scattered throughout the intact portion of the CA-SBA-58 deposit. Based on input from the Chumash representatives during the first "meet and confer" session on May 5th, the project archaeologist determined that a reduction in the size of archaeological test units was needed to better balance the needs of site preservation and gathering research data. The size of the mitigation excavation units was reduced to 0.50 X 0.50 meters in size, considered the minimum dimension capable of maintaining systematic data collection methods. This revised protocol resulted in the preservation of an additional 182 square meters, or nearly 2,000 square feet of intact CA-SBA-58 deposits. Frankly, we revised the protocol to acknowledge the importance of Chumash cultural values, weighed against the need to conduct archaeological data recovery. The archeological data recovery program is designed to maximize the information collected from areas of direct construction impact, while minimizing the area impacted. Approximately 90 percent of CA-SBA-58 site deposit will be preserved in place, and the archeological data recovery excavations will occur within the other approximately 10 percent of site area that would otherwise be disturbed.

6. The use of geotextile material, sand, and a layer of fill over native archeological soil is a mitigation measure specifically endorsed by Chumash representatives and successfully employed regionally.

The capping program to be employed is endorsed by local Chumash people. Proposed conditions include placement of non-cultural fill above the archeological deposit to be preserved, and then placing a geotextile fabric above the sand. The Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation specifically endorsed this condition during consultation meetings, stating that they had advocated its use at another nearby prehistoric village site.

In closing, I first of all want to say that your August 22nd letter caused the City to pause and re-think through the efforts that were made and the data and information that was produced. Looking back on it, we are confident that our efforts and products were more than sufficient and, certainly, met the good faith provisions of CEQA, and that they advanced our understanding and respect for the issues and persons involved in this matter. I don’t say that lightly, for the City is committed to establishing and maintaining inclusive and effective relations with the Chumash Peoples. I believe that the extensive and comprehensive evaluation of the archaeological/heritage resources within the project site has provided all affected parties reliable information to make an informed decision. This information, collected in consultation with local Chumash representatives, has been used to refine proposed project characteristics to achieve a minimum impact design capable of preserving in place approximately 90 percent of the archaeological
site. There is no evidence to suggest that proposed construction will impact isolated human burials, though standard construction monitoring measures will be implemented to ensure any such unknown finds are properly addressed. Effects of compaction and vibration will be mitigated using techniques endorsed by local Chumash involved in the meet and confer process.

The City has developed a series of overarching conditions each with a series of sub-conditions to be placed upon the project to minimize impacts on archaeological resources and Chumash cultural values to a less than significant level. The City considers that these conditions, along with the extensive Chumash consultation and project redesign efforts, demonstrate our unwavering commitment to preserving archaeological/heritage resources for the Chumash People and residents of our community.

Sincerely,

Steve Chase  
Director of Planning & Environmental Resources
August 10, 2009

Ms. Patricia Miller  
City of Goleta  
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B  
Goleta, CA 93117  

Dear Ms. Miller:

Thank you for requesting the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report be completed for the Marriott Residence Inn and Hollister Center project. Notice of Preparation SCI# 2007121058 in Santa Barbara county. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) is concerned about the impact of the project in a highly sensitive Chumash Indian site located in the Goleta Slough area. This area is the last remaining portion of a recorded archaeological site (CA-SBA-58), where two Native American burials have been previously discovered and destroyed, with a great likelihood of more burials being found.

To adequately comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and its provisions, the Commission recommends consultation with the individuals or tribes listed on the attached Native American Contact list concerning the project and consultation regarding possible mitigation measures.

The NAHC makes no recommendation or preference of a single individual or group over another. This list should provide a starting place in locating areas of potential adverse impact with the proposed project area. I suggest you contact all those indicated, if they cannot supply information, they might recommend others with specific knowledge. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call to ensure that the project information has been received.

The Commission's preference of mitigation measures concerning archaeological resources is total avoidance with preservation in place. Serious consideration should be given to preserving the site in place. A Native American cultural site is not like a historic structure that can be reconstructed based on detailed documentation. Native American cultural sites are finite and if subjected to data recovery, the site is destroyed. This is the last undisturbed site in the area and needs to be preserved, not destroyed.

If you have any questions, please call (916) 653-4040.

Sincerely,

Katy Sanchez  
Program Analyst

Attachment
Native American Contact
Santa Barbara County
August 10, 2009

Ernestine DeSoto
1027 Cacique Street, #A
Santa Barbara, CA 93103
(805) 962-3598
Chumash

Julie Lynn Tumamait
365 North Poli Ave
Ojai, CA 93023
jtumamait@sbcglobal.net
(805) 646-6214
Chumash

Beverly Salazar Folkes
1931 Shadybrook Drive
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362
805 492-7255
(805) 558-1154 - cell
folkes9@msn.com
Chumash
tataviam
Fernandeño

Patrick Tumamait
992 El Camino Corto
Ojai, CA 93023
(805) 640-0481
(805) 216-1253 Cell
Chumash

San Luis Obispo County Chumash Council
Chief Mark Steven Vigil
1030 Ritchie Road
Grover Beach, CA 93433
cheifmvigil@fix.net
(805) 481-2461
(805) 474-4729 - Fax
Chumash

Owl Clan
Dr. Kote & Lin A-Lul'Koy Lotah
48825 Sapaque Road
Bradley, CA 93426
(805) 472-9536
Chumash

Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians
Vincent Armenta, Chairperson
P.O. Box 517
Santa Ynez, CA 93460
varmenta@santaynezchumash.org
(805) 688-7997
(805) 686-9578 Fax
Chumash

John Ruiz
1826 Stanwood Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93103
(805) 965-8983
Chumash

This list is current only as of the date of this document.
Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.34 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.36 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed SCH# 2007121058 Marriott Residence Inn and Hollister Center Project, Santa Barbara County.
Native American Contact
Santa Barbara County
August 10, 2009

Gilbert M. Unzueta Jr.
571 Citation Way Chumash
Thousand Oaks, CA 91320
(805) 375-7229

Randy Guzman - Folkes
4577 Alamo Street, Unit C Chumash
Simi Valley, CA 93063
rdnRandy@gmail.com Tataviam
(805) 905-1675 - cell

Diane Napoleone and Associates
Diane Napoleone
1433 Camino Trillado Chumash
Carpinteria, CA 93013
805-684-4213

Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation
Janet Garcia, Chairperson
P.O. Box 4464 Chumash
Santa Barbara, CA 93140
805-964-3447

Stephen William Miller
189 Cartagena Chumash
Camarillo, CA 93010
(805) 484-2439

Charles S. Parra
P.O. Box 6612 Chumash
Oxnard, CA 93031
(805) 340-3134 (Cell)
(805) 488-0481 (Home)

Santa Ynez Tribal Elders Council
Adelina Alva-Padilla, Chair Woman
P.O. Box 365 Chumash
Santa Ynez, CA 93460
elders@santaynezchumash.org
(805) 688-8446
(805) 693-1768 FAX

Richard Angulo
P.O. Box 182 Chumash
Salome, AZ 85348

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed SCH# 2007121099 Marriott Residence Inn and Hollister Center Project; Santa Barbara County.
Native American Contact
Santa Barbara County
August 10, 2009

Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians
Sam Cohen, Tribal Administrator
P.O. Box 517
Santa Ynez, CA 93460
(805) 688-7997
(805) 686-9578 Fax

Carol A. Pulido
165 Mountainview Street
Oak View, CA 93022
805-649-2743 (Home)

Melissa M. Para-Hernandez
119 North Balsam Street
Oxnard, CA 93030
805-988-9171

Frank Arredondo
PO Box 161
Santa Barbara, CA 93102
805-617-6884
ksenSKUmu@yahoo.com

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.95 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed SCH# 2007121069 Marriott Residence Inn and Hollister Center Project; Santa Barbara County.
September 2, 2009

Mr. Rob Wood
Native American Heritage Commission
915 Capitol Mall, Room 364
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SACRED LAND SEARCH REQUEST

Dear Mr. Wood:

The City of Goleta is re-processing an application for the Marriott Residence Inn/Hollister Business Center project, located in the central portion of the City of Goleta. The site is bounded by Hollister Avenue on the south, La Patera Lane on the east, Robin Hill Road on the west and existing industrial research park development to the north. The site is commonly known as 6300 Hollister Avenue (APN 073-050-020). The attached maps show the vicinity of the project site within the City of Goleta.

The city of Goleta previously sent out an NOC/NOP/EIR Scoping document for the Marriott Residence Inn/Hollister Business Center project. The NAHC received a copy of this packet. In response, the NAHC submitted a letter to the City of Goleta, dated August 10, 2009, which included a list of individuals and tribes to consult with regarding the project. Thank you for providing the City of Goleta with the above-noted list to be used for consultation.

We would also like to request that you conduct a search of the Native American Heritage Commission’s Sacred Land Survey for any evidence that the project site is of heritage value to the contemporary Chumash.

Thank you for your assistance with this request. If you have any questions, please contact me at (805) 962-0030 or by e-mail at heifetzcampbell@cox.net. You may also contact Patty Miller at the City of Goleta at 805-961-7500 or by e-mail at pmiller@cityofgoleta.org.

Sincerely,

Natasha H. Campbell, Contract Planner
Planning and Environmental Services
Encl: Sacred Lands File & Native American Contacts List Request
      USGS Map with Project Location Identified
      Vicinity Map/Aerial Photo of Site
      Site Plan

cc: Patricia S. Miller, Manager, Current Planning, PES
Sacred Lands File & Native American Contacts List Request

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION
915 Capitol Mall, RM 364
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 653-4082
(916) 657-3390 – Fax
nahc@pacbell.net

Information Below is Required for a Sacred Lands File Search

Project: Marriott Residence Inn / Hollister Business Center
County: Santa Barbara
USGS Quadrangle: G300 Hollister Avenue, Goleta
Name: ____________________________________________

Township ______ Range ______ Section(s) ________
Company/Firm/Agency: City of Goleta
Contact Person: Natasha H Campbell
Street Address: 130 Cremona Drive, Suite B
City: Goleta Zip: 93117
Phone: 805 962 0030
Fax: 805 685 2035
Email: heifetzcampbell@cox.net

Project Description: Re-processing nearly identical project approved by City of Goleta in 2008 - EIR being prepared in response to litigation. The project request includes a Development Plan for a 140-room hotel on vacant land located at the northeast corner of Hollister Avenue & Robin Hill Road. The hotel site occupies the westerly 3.79 acres of a larger 10.95 - acre parcel. A lot-split is proposed to create a smaller, separate parcel for the hotel development, while providing for reciprocal access and parking with the adjacent existing research - manufacturing building. The project also includes a Development Plan Amendment to update the permit for the existing building onsite.
Ms. Katy Sanchez  
Program Analyst  
Native American Heritage Commission  
915 Capitol Mall, Room 364  
Sacramento, CA 95814  

RE: Marriott Residence Inn/Hollister Business Center Project  
Sacred Lands Search  
6300 Hollister Avenue, Goleta, CA (APN: 073-050-020)  

Dear Ms. Sanchez:  

Within the City of Goleta, there is a proposed hotel and business park development described above. Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS) has been retained by the City of Goleta to prepare the cultural resources section of the Environmental Impact Report as well as consult with interested Native Americans relative to this project.  

The proposed development site, in the City of Goleta, is bounded by Hollister Avenue on the south, La Patera Lane on the east, Robin Hill Road on the west and existing commercial development to the North. Further, the proposed project site lies within the recorded boundaries of archaeological site CA-SBA-58.  

Please review the sacred lands files for any Native American Sacred resources that may be within or adjacent to the project area in addition to those already recorded. The project area is within the city limits of Goleta and is identified on the attached portion of the USGS Goleta, CA 7.5' Quadrangle.  

Also include a list, including names and addresses, of Native American individuals and organizations who may have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area; or who may have a concern or wish to comment on the project.  

If you have any questions contact me at the phone number or address shown, or by email (ronrose@crms.com). We look forward to your reply.  

Best regards,  

Ron Rose  
Vice President
January 20, 2010

RE: Environmental Impact Report
    Marriott Residence Inn/Hollister Business Center
    Hollister Avenue and Robin Hill Road, Goleta, CA (APN: 073-050-020)

Dear XXXXXXXXXX:

Development plans have been submitted to the City of Goleta for the construction of a Marriott Residence Inn and associated commercial improvements in Goleta near the intersection of Hollister Avenue and Robin Hill Road. The project vicinity is shown on the enclosed copy of a portion of the USGS Goleta, CA 7.5' topographic map.

Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS) has been retained by the City of Goleta to prepare the cultural resources section of the Environment Impact Report (EIR) which will also include mitigation measures for existing cultural resources.

As one of our tasks, we requested that a Sacred Lands Search be completed at the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). Your name and address was included in the response from the NAHC as a person who may have an interest in the project.

If you have concerns or wish to comment on this project, please do so in writing. You may direct a letter to us at the address listed above, or if you wish, you may send an email to me at: nancy@crms.com. So that we can insure that your comments are included, I ask that you respond to this letter within two weeks.

Thanks very much for your interest and help.

Best regards,

Nancy Farrell
President

End: Topographic Map
Frank

I have asked the archaeological consultants not to reply directly to applicant or public information requests regarding ongoing work for the Marriott project. There will be a thorough opportunity for public comment on the assumptions, impact assessment, proposed mitigation measures, etc. and I will make sure that you are provided notice of all such opportunities.

This protocol is designed to ensure the most independent, objective analysis by CRMS for the City.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this.

Thank you,

Natasha

Natasha Heifetz Campbell
1130 Del Sol Avenue*
Santa Barbara, CA 93109
805-982-0030
heifetzcampbell@cox.net

*For Goleta projects, mail correspondence to:

City of Goleta
Planning & Environmental Services
Attn: Natasha H. Campbell
150 Camino Drive, Suite B
Goleta, CA 93117
Please be advised that this coming Monday, April 26, at 9:30am, there will be several 2-inch borings performed at the proposed Marriott Residence Inn site at 6300 Hollister Avenue, in Goleta.

You are receiving this email because you are identified on the Native American Contact list, which the City of Goleta received from the Native American Heritage Commission or you are otherwise identified by the City of Goleta as a local Native American contact who may be interested in being informed about the Marriott project, including being present during the boring activity. The proposed borings will occur in the two bore pits and along the sewer line that was not previously evaluated.

The Native American observer will be Jamie Julian, the daughter of Beverly Folkes.

Todd Hannahs of CRMS is an archaeologist who is contracted with the City of Goleta to assist the City in preparation of the Cultural Resources section of the EIR for the Marriott Residence Inn project. You may have already received a letter from Todd explaining his firm’s (CRMS’s) involvement with the City on the Marriott project. Todd will be present on Monday as an archaeological monitor for the City of Goleta. As part of the EIR process, you will be receiving separate notice of a meet and confer meeting shortly. You will also receive a notice when the Draft EIR is available for review as well as when the later decision-maker hearing(s) are scheduled.

Other archaeologists who are likely to be present include Heather Macfarlane, who has previously worked for the City of Goleta on the Marriott Residence Inn project and Ken Victorino and/or David Stone of Dudek, who performed previous archaeological evaluations onsite for the project applicant.

The borings are expected to be completed in one day.

Given the timeframe for the field work on Monday, I will also be calling each of the Native American contact phone numbers listed on the NAHC list today and tomorrow with the same information in this email.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this email or the project in general and please feel free to forward this email to others in the Native American community whom you think would be interested in being present on Monday.

Thank you,

Natasha

Natasha Heifetz Campbell
1130 Del Sol Avenue*
Santa Barbara, CA 93109
805-962-0030
heifetzcampbell@cox.net

*For Goleta projects, mail correspondence to:

City of Goleta
Planning & Environmental Services
Attn: Natasha H. Campbell
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B
Goleta, CA 93117
Please be advised that this coming **Friday, May 28th**, there will be four additional 2-inch borings performed at the proposed Marriott Residence Inn site at 6300 Hollister Avenue, in Goleta. The work is expected to begin at approximately 9:00 a.m. and the borings should be completed in one day. The proposed boring locations are designed to assist in locating project utilities in a manner which best avoids cultural resources.

You are receiving this email because you are identified on the Native American Contact list, which the City of Goleta received from the Native American Heritage Commission or you are otherwise identified by the City of Goleta as a local Native American contact who may be interested in being informed about the Marriott project, including being present during the boring activity.

The Native American observer on-site will be Gil Unzueta.

Todd Hannahs of CRMS is an archaeologist who is contracted with the City of Goleta to assist the City in preparation of the Cultural Resources section of the EIR for the Marriott Residence Inn project. You may have already received a letter from Todd explaining his firm’s (CRMS’s) involvement with the City on the Marriott project. Todd will be present on Monday as an archaeological monitor for the City of Goleta. As part of the EIR process, you will be receiving separate notice of a meet and confer meeting shortly. You will also receive a notice when the Draft EIR is available for review as well as when the later decision-maker hearing(s) are scheduled.

Other archaeologists who may be present include Heather Macfarlane, who has previously worked for the City of Goleta on the Marriott Residence Inn project and Ken Victorino and/or David Stone of Dudek, who performed previous archaeological evaluations onsite for the project applicant. There will also be a geomorphologist onsite.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this email or the project in general and please feel free to forward this email to others in the Native American community whom you think would be interested in being present on Monday. (Notice of this additional fieldwork is also being mailed to all parties on the NAHC contact list as many individuals do not include an email address on the contact list).

I can also forward any questions or comments that you might have to the archaeologists and/or the Native American monitor. My contact information is identified below.

Thank you,

Natasha

Natasha Heifetz Campbell
1130 Del Sol Avenue*
Santa Barbara, CA 93109
805-962-0030
heifetzcampbell@cox.net

*For Goleta projects, mail correspondence to:

City of Goleta
AGENDA

CULTURAL RESOURCES MEETING

THURSDAY, AUGUST 12, 2010

Meeting Location:
GOLETA CITY HALL – COUNCIL CHAMBERS
130 CREMONA DRIVE, SUITE B, GOLETA, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT:

MARRIOTT RESIDENCE INN/HOLLISTER BUSINESS CENTER PROJECT

Project Location: 6300 Hollister Avenue, Goleta, CA
Case Nos. 09-075-DP-TPM, 09-079-DP AM

A. CALL MEETING TO ORDER AND SIGN IN
B. CONSULTANT PRESENTATION SUMMARIZING FINDINGS OF DRAFT CULTURAL RESOURCES REPORT
C. PARTICIPANT COMMENT AND DISCUSSIONS WITH CONSULTANT/CITY STAFF
D. DESCRIPTION OF UPCOMING EIR AND DECISION-MAKER REVIEW PROCESS
E. ADJOURNMENT
NOTICE OF CULTURAL RESOURCES MEETING
Planning and Environmental Services
AUGUST 12, 2010 10 A.M.

MARRIOTT RESIDENCE INN
and HOLLISTER CENTER PROJECT
CASE NO. 09-075-DP, -TPM; 09-079-DP, -AM
6300 Hollister Avenue; APN 073-050-020

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning and Environmental Services Department of the City of Goleta will hold a meeting to discuss the draft report prepared by Cultural Resources Management Services (CRMS), which addresses archaeological resources associated with the proposed project site. If you have not received a copy of the draft report with this notice, the report will be made available upon request by contacting Natasha Heifetz Campbell (805-962-0030, heifetzcampbell@cox.net).

PROJECT LOCATION: The project site (APN 073-050-020) is located at 6300 Hollister Avenue. The property is immediately north of Hollister Avenue, east of Robin Hill Road and west of La Patera Lane, within the City of Goleta, California. With the exception of some asphalt paving, the proposed hotel portion of the property is undeveloped. Proposed changes to the adjacent Hollister Business Center development are limited to new frontage improvements (sidewalk, landscaping, bus stop, lighting, new median) along Hollister Avenue.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Anthony Wrzosek of R.D. Olson Development representing the applicants, R.D. Olson and Sares Regis Group, proposes to subdivide the current 10.95 gross acre parcel into three parcels of 6.90 acres (Parcel 1; existing research park building), 3.81 acres (Parcel 2; proposed Marriott Residence Inn) and 0.24 acres (Parcel 3 City of Santa Barbara right of way to be dedicated to the City of Santa Barbara). A Development Plan Amendment is proposed for the existing research park development that recognizes the proposed new parcel (Parcel 1 of the subdivision request) and includes a request for a modification of zoning ordinance standards to address existing, non-conforming improvements and conditions on-site. A new Development Plan is proposed to allow construction of an 80,989 SF, 118-room Marriott Residence Inn, a patio and pool area in the center courtyard of the hotel, 122 parking spaces around the perimeter of the hotel site, frontage improvements between La Patera Lane and Robin Hill Road, and a sewer lateral connection to existing Goleta Sanitary District lines. Access would be provided from Hollister Avenue and Robin Hill Road.

MEETING DATE AND TIME: August 12, 2010 at 10:00 A.M.
PLACE: Goleta City Hall, Council Chambers
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B,
Goleta, California 93117

All interested parties are encouraged to attend and to discuss their comments and/or concerns regarding cultural resources and the CRMS report. If you will not be attending the meeting, but wish to otherwise provide comments, please submit comments to the project planner:

Ms. Natasha Heifetz Campbell, Contract Planner
City of Goleta
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B
Goleta, CA 93117

Email: heifetzcampbell@cox.net  Phone: 805-962-0030  Fax: 805-685-2635

NOTE: In compliance with the Americans with Disability Act, if you need special assistance to participate in the hearing, please contact the Planning and Environmental Services Administrative Assistant at (805) 961-7500. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the staff to make reasonable arrangements.
From: SBCampbells [sbcampbells@cox.net]
Sent: Monday, August 02, 2010 1:54 PM
To: 'vennisem13@gmail.com'; 'Vennise@agpvideo.com'; 'tasinhall@yahoo.com'; 'ksen_sku_mu@yahoo.com'; 'freddyromero1959@yahoo.com'
Cc: 'pmiller@cityofgoleta.org'
Subject: Meeting 72610 CRMS report

Attachments: Chumash Mtg 081210 re CRMS report.doc; CRMS Draft 7710.pdf

I am sending out the hard copy notice today for the August 12 meeting to discuss the draft archaeology report prepared for the City of Goleta by CRMS for the proposed Marriott Residence Inn project.

Given your past interest in cultural resources on this site and/or other nearby sites and because I have an email address for you, I am attaching the electronic version of the notice as well as the draft report. Hard copies of the report can also be made available upon request (as stated in the notice).

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments about this email.

I hope to see you on August 12th,

Natasha

Natasha Heifetz Campbell
Contract Planner, City of Goleta
805-962-0030
heifetzcampbell@cox.net
REQUEST FOR CULTURAL RESOURCES CONSULTATION
Planning & Environmental Services
Meeting: Wednesday, May 2, 2013 @ 3:30 pm

MARRIOTT RESIDENCE INN/HOLLISTER CENTER PROJECT
Case Nos. 09-075-DP-TPM; 09-079-DPAM
6300-6370 Hollister Avenue; APNS 073-050-020

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning and Environmental Services Department of the City of Goleta will hold a meeting to discuss the archaeological report prepared by Todd Hannahs & Nancy Farrell of Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS), Site History And Archaeological Assessment Of Ca-Sba-58 With Mitigation Strategies To Address Potential Impacts Resulting From The Construction Of The Marriott Residence Inn At 6300 Hollister Avenue Goleta, California and the Revised Draft EIR for the project dated February 2013. This notice is being sent to a select list of appropriate Native American contacts, including all individuals identified by the Native American Heritage Commission for purposes of consultation: to obtain local knowledge and concerns regarding cultural resources associated with the project site/area and to assist in mitigation measures. If you would like to review a copy of the archaeological report or the EIR associated with this project, please contact Natasha Campbell (805.962.0030; ncampbell@cityofgoleta.org). The EIR is also available for review on the City’s website, www.cityofgoleta.org.

MEETING DATE AND TIME: May 2, 2013 at 3:30 pm
PLACE: Goleta City Hall, Council Chambers
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B
Goleta, California 93117

PROJECT LOCATION: The project site (APN 073-050-020) is located at 6300-6370 Hollister Avenue. The property is immediately north of Hollister Avenue and is bounded on the west by Robin Hill Road and on the east by La Patera Lane within the City of Goleta, California. The eastern, approximately two-thirds of the property is developed with an existing office/research and development building. Development on the western, approximately one-third of the property is limited to paving and utility infrastructure.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Anthony Wrzosek of R.D. Olson Development representing Robert (Bob) Olson of R.D. Olson Development and Russ Goodman of Sares Regis Group (General Partner of 6300 Hollister Associates, the property owner) are requesting:

A Vesting Tentative Map to subdivide the existing 10.71 acre parcel into two separate parcels of 6.90 acres (Parcel 1) and 3.81 acres (Parcel 2). Per City subdivision requirements, frontage improvements are included along La Patera Lane, Hollister Avenue, and Robin Hill Road.

A Development Plan for an 80,945 square foot, 118-room, extended stay hotel. Hotel related improvements include a swimming pool, 122 parking spaces, landscaping, extension/relocation of utilities, infrastructure improvements along Hollister Avenue and Robin Hill Road, a new shared driveway on Hollister Avenue, relocation of the existing Robin Hill Road driveway, and three medians in Hollister Avenue. Modifications are also requested to Inland Zoning Ordinance setback standards for off-street parking (§35-262.a) and a trash enclosure (§35-233.33.9.1.a).

An Amendment to the Development Plan for the existing Hollister Center development to replace the existing entitlements granted under prior County-approved Case No. 79-DP-22 for the 106,500 SF office/research and development building, including approving modifications to the Inland Zoning Ordinance standards to allow (existing and previously approved) off-street parking to encroach within the front yard setbacks along Hollister Avenue and La Patera Lane (§35-262.a) and modification of landscape coverage.
requirements from 30% coverage to approximately 10% coverage (§35-233.13.1). The Development Plan Amendment also addresses related revisions to the property boundaries, parking areas, the relocated Hollister Avenue driveway and the circulation system that result from the subdivision, public improvements along Hollister Avenue and La Patera Lane, and enhanced landscaping along Hollister Avenue and within the existing the parking lot.

Note: Per Government Code Section 6254.r, the information obtained/records disclosed through this consultation that relate to archaeological site information and reports maintained by, or in the possession of, the Department of Parks and Recreation, the State Historical Resources Commission, the State Lands Commission, the Native American Heritage Commission, another state agency, or a local agency, including the records that the agency obtains through a consultation process between a California Native American tribe and a state or local agency are to be confidential.

Note: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in the hearing, please contact the Planning and Environmental Review Administrative Assistant at (805) 961-7500 or Natasha Campbell at (805) 962-0030. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the staff to make reasonable arrangements.