October 29, 2013

Ms. Tina Rivera, City Finance Director
City of Goleta
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B
Goleta, CA 93117

Dear Ms. Rivera:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Goleta Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 20, 2013 for the period of January through June 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 13-14B at this time.

The ROPS 13-14B review template was set to compute the prior period adjustment (PPA) on a line-item basis. Finance reviewed the PPA for the administrative costs to ensure the adjustment is made only if the Agency’s total administrative expenses were under the total authorized administrative allowance. As a result of our review, the ROPS III prior period adjustment for administrative cost was adjusted by $4,016. This adjustment was necessary to account for the administrative costs approved by Finance and to recognize the 3% percent, or $250,000 administrative cost allowance authorized by HSC section 34171 (b).

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency.
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The Agency’s maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is $970,666 as summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount</th>
<th>For the period of January through June 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations</td>
<td>887,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations</td>
<td>83,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RPTTF requested for obligations</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 971,724</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations</td>
<td>887,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total RPTTF approved for administrative obligations</td>
<td>83,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RPTTF approved for obligations</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 971,724</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Reported ROPS III PPA</td>
<td>(5,073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment to ROPS III PPA</td>
<td>4,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ROPS III PPA</td>
<td>(1,058)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RPTTF approved for distribution</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 970,666</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:


This is Finance’s final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance’s determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance’s review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.
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Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Medy Lamorena, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD  
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Jaime Valdez, Economic Development Coordinator, City of Goleta  
Mr. Ed Price, Division Chief Property Tax Division, Santa Barbara County  
California State Controller's Office